arXiv:math/9805144v1 [math.CA] 3 May 1998

Integral Transforms with $\boldsymbol{H}$-Function Kernels on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$-Spaces

Hans-Jürgen GLAESKE
Department of Mathematics
Friedrich Schiller University, D-07740 Jena, Germany

Anatoly A. KILBAS
Department of Mathematics and Mechanics
Belarusian State University, Minsk 220050, Belarus

Megumi SAIGO
Department of Applied Mathematics
Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan

Sergei A. SHLAPAKOV
Department of Mathematics
Vitebsk Pedagogical University, Vitebsk 210036, Belarus

Abstract

Integral transforms

$$(\boldsymbol{H}f)(x)=\int_0^\infty H_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[xt\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix}\right]f(t)dt$$

involving Fox's H-functions as kernels are studied in the spaces $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ of functions f such that

$$\int_0^\infty |t^\nu f(t)|^r\frac{dt}{t}<\infty \quad (1\leqq r<\infty,\ \nu\in\mathbb{R}).$$

Mapping properties such as the boundedness, the representation and the range of the transforms $\boldsymbol{H}$ are given.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the integral transforms of the form

$$(\boldsymbol{H}f)(x)=\int_0^\infty H_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[xt\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix}\right]f(t)dt, \tag{1.1}$$

where $H^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[z \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix}\right]$ is the Fox H-function. This function of general hypergeometric type was introduced by Fox [8]. For integers m, n, p, q such that $0 \leqq m \leqq q$, $0 \leqq n \leqq p$, $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ of the field of complex numbers, and $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$ $(1 \leqq i \leqq p$, $1 \leqq j \leqq q)$, it is defined by

$$H^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[z \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix}\right] = H^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[z \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(a_1,\alpha_1), & \cdots, (a_p,\alpha_p)\\(b_1,\beta_1), & \cdots, (b_q,\beta_q)\end{matrix}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathfrak{L}} \mathcal{H}^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[\begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix} \,\middle|\, s\right] z^{-s}ds, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[\begin{matrix}(a_i,\alpha_i)_{1,p}\\(b_j,\beta_j)_{1,q}\end{matrix} \,\middle|\, s\right] = \frac{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{m}\Gamma(b_j+\beta_j s)\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}\Gamma(1-a_i-\alpha_i s)}{\prod\limits_{i=n+1}^{p}\Gamma(a_i+\alpha_i s)\prod\limits_{j=m+1}^{q}\Gamma(1-b_j-\beta_j s)}, \tag{1.3}$$

the contour $\mathfrak{L}$ is specially chosen and an empty product, if it occurs, is taken to be one. The theory of this function may be found in [2], [29, Chapter 1], [36, §8.3] and [52, Chapter 2]. We abbreviate the Fox H-function (1.2) and the function in (1.3) to $H^{m,n}_{p,q}(x)$, $\mathcal{H}^{m,n}_{p,q}(s)$ or $H(x)$, $\mathcal{H}(s)$ when no confusion occurs.

Most of the known integral transforms can be put into the form (1.1). When $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_p = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_q = 1$, then (1.2) is the Meijer G-function [7, Chapter 5.3] and (1.1) is reduced to the so-called integral transforms with G-function kernels or $\boldsymbol{G}$-transforms. The classical Laplace and Hankel transforms, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, the even and odd Hilbert transforms, the integral transforms with the Gauss hypergeometric function, etc. belong to these $\boldsymbol{G}$-transforms, for whose theory and historical notices see [44, §§36, 39]. There are other transforms which can not be reduced to $\boldsymbol{G}$-transforms but can be put into the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ given in (1.1). Such kinds of transforms are the modified Laplace and Hankel transforms [50], [52], [40], [44, §§18, 23, 39], the Erdélyi-Kober type fractional integration operators [26], [6], [50], [44, §18], the transforms with the Gauss hypergeometric function as kernels [35], [30], [41], [42], [44, §§23, 39], the Bessel-type integral transforms [27], [38], [21], [22], etc.

The integral transforms (1.1) with H-function kernels or $\boldsymbol{H}$-transforms were first considered by Fox [8] while investigating G- and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels. This paper together with the ones [17], [45], [9], [10], [49], [14], [4], [28] and [34] were devoted to find the inversion formulae for the $\boldsymbol{H}$-transforms (1.1) is the spaces $L_1(0,\infty)$ and $L_2(0,\infty)$. Some properties of $\boldsymbol{H}$-transforms such as their Mellin transform, the relation of fractional integration by parts, compositional formulae, etc. were considered in [11], [12], [13], [51], [46] and [15]. In [47], [5] and [1] the operators $\boldsymbol{H}$ in (1.1) were represented as the compositions of the Erdélyi-Kober type operators and the integral operators of the form (1.1) with the H-function of the less order. Factorization properties of (1.1) in special functional spaces

L_2^Φ were investigated in [53]. The properties of generalized fractional integration operators, being some modifications of the operators (1.1), with $H^{m,0}_{m,m}$-function as the kernel were investigated on the space $L_p(0,\infty)$ in [24], [16], [25] and on McBride spaces $F_{p,\mu}$ and $F'_{p,\mu}$ (see [31] and [44, §8]) in [37], [43]. We also note that some facts about multidimensional transforms $\boldsymbol{H}$ of the form (1.1) were given in [3, §4.4].

The papers [32], [33] were devoted to the range and the invertibility of the operators (1.1) in the special cases when $\mathcal{H}(s) = \Gamma(b+s/m), \Gamma(1-a-s/m)$ and $\Gamma(b+s/m)/\Gamma(1-a-s/m)$ with $m > 0$, in the spaces $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ and its subspaces $F_{p,\mu}$. The former space with $\nu \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty,\infty)$ and $1 \leqq r < \infty$ is defined as the space of those Lebesgue measurable complex functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{\nu,r} = \int_0^\infty |t^\nu f(t)|^r \, \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \tag{1.4}$$

(see [40]). Our previous papers [18], [23] were dealt with the study of the mapping properties such as the boundedness, the representation and the range of the general transforms $\boldsymbol{H}$ defined by (1.1) in the space $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$. The results in [18], [23] were extended to the space $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ with any $1 \leqq r < \infty$ in [19], [20], [48]. It is proved that the obtained results are different in nine cases:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1)\ a^* = \Delta = \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = 0; & 2)\ a^* = \Delta = 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < 0; & 3)\ a^* = 0,\ \Delta > 0; \\ 4)\ a^* = 0,\ \Delta < 0; & 5)\ a_1^* > 0,\ a_2^* > 0; & 6)\ a_1^* > 0,\ a_2^* = 0; \\ 7)\ a_1^* = 0,\ a_2^* > 0; & 8)\ a^* > 0,\ a_1^* > 0,\ a_2^* < 0; & 9)\ a^* > 0,\ a_1^* < 0,\ a_2^* > 0. \end{array}$$

Here

$$a^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} \beta_j, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \frac{p-q}{2}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$a_1^* = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j - \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} \alpha_i, \qquad a_2^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} \beta_j. \tag{1.8}$$

We note that

$$a^* = a_1^* + a_2^*, \qquad \Delta = a_1^* - a_2^*. \tag{1.9}$$

The results in [19], [20] and [48] being the extensions of those by Rooney [40] from $\boldsymbol{G}$-transforms to $\boldsymbol{H}$-transforms were proved under the additional condition $\delta = 1$, where

$$\delta = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{-\alpha_i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\beta_j}. \tag{1.10}$$

The present paper is devoted to extend the results in [19], [20], [48] from $\delta = 1$ to any $\delta > 0$. Section 2 contains preliminary information from [40] concerning the mapping properties on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ of the Mellin transform, Erdélyi-Kober type fractional integral operators and generalized Hankel and Laplace transforms. In Section 3 we summarize the results from [18] on the asymptotic properties of the function $\mathcal{H}(s)$ defined in (1.3) and its derivative and on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$-theory of the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$. Sections 4 and 5 deal with the one-to-one boundedness, representation and range of $\boldsymbol{H}$-transform (1.1) on the space $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ $(1 \leqq r < \infty)$ in the cases when $a^* = \Delta = 0$ and $a^* = 0,\ \Delta \neq 0$, respectively. Sections 6 and 7 are devoted to consider the cases $a_1^* \geqq 0,\ a_2^* \geqq 0$ and $a^* > 0,\ a_1^* < 0$ or $a_2^* < 0$, respectively.

2. Some Auxiliary Results

In this section we collect a variety of facts concerning multipliers for the Mellin transform and well known integral operators (see [39], [40]) which we need in next sections. For $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ with $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$, the Mellin transform of f is defined [40] by

$$(\mathfrak{M}f)(\nu + it) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(\nu+it)\tau} f(e^{\tau}) d\tau \tag{2.1}$$

for $\nu, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We also write $(\mathfrak{M}f)(s)$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu$ as $(\mathfrak{M}f)(\nu + it)$. In particular, if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r} \bigcap \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,1}$, then $(\mathfrak{M}f)(s)$ is given by the usual expression

$$(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) t^{s-1} dt. \tag{2.2}$$

First we give the definition of the set $\mathcal{A}$ and formulate the multiplier theorem for the Mellin transform $\mathfrak{M}$.

Definition 1. [39, Definition 3.1] We say that a function m belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ if there are extended real numbers $\alpha(m)$ and $\beta(m)$ with $\alpha(m) < \beta(m)$ such that:

a) $m(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha(m) < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta(m)$;

b) $m(s)$ is bounded in every closed substrip $\sigma_1 \leqq \mathrm{Re}(s) \leqq \sigma_2$, where $\alpha(m) < \sigma_1 \leqq \sigma_2 < \beta(m)$;

c) $|m'(\sigma + it)| = O\left(|t|^{-1}\right)$ as $|t| \to \infty$ for $\alpha(m) < \sigma < \beta(m)$.

For two Banach spaces X and Y we use the notation $[X, Y]$ denoting the collection of bounded linear operators from X to Y, and $[X, X]$ is abbreviated to $[X]$.

Theorem 1. [39, Theorem 1] *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha(m) < \nu < \beta(m)$ and $1 < r < \infty$. Then there is a transform $T_m \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ such that for every $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ with $1 < r \leqq 2$, the relation*

$$(\mathfrak{M}T_m f)(s) = m(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu) \tag{2.3}$$

holds valid. For $\alpha(m) < \nu < \beta(m)$ *and* $1 < r \leqq 2$ *the transform* T_m *is one-to-one on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *except when* $m = 0$. *If* $1/m \in \mathcal{A}$, *then for* $\max[\alpha(m), \alpha(1/m)] < \nu < \min[\beta(m), \beta(1/m)]$ *and for* $1 < r < \infty$, T_m *maps* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *one-to-one onto itself, and there holds*

$$T_m^{-1} = T_{1/m}.$$

In the discussion of this article we use the special integral operators as follows: The *Erdélyi-Kober type fractional integrals* (see [44, §18.1]) for $\alpha, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\sigma, x \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\left(I^{\alpha}_{0+;\sigma,\eta} f\right)(x) = \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x^\sigma - t^\sigma)^{\alpha-1} t^{\sigma\eta+\sigma-1} f(t)dt; \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left(I^{\alpha}_{-;\sigma,\eta} f\right)(x) = \frac{\sigma x^{\sigma\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (t^\sigma - x^\sigma)^{\alpha-1} t^{\sigma(1-\alpha-\eta)-1} f(t)dt; \tag{2.5}$$

the *modified Hankel transform* for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \mathrm{Re}(\eta) > -1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\left(H_{\kappa,\eta} f\right)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{1/\kappa-1/2} J_\eta\left(|\kappa|(xt)^{1/\kappa}\right) f(t)dt; \tag{2.6}$$

and the *modified Laplace transform* for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\left(L_{\kappa,\alpha} f\right)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{-\alpha} e^{-|\kappa|(xt)^{1/\kappa}} f(t)dt. \tag{2.7}$$

All these transforms are defined for continuous functions f with compact support on $\mathbb{R}_+$ for the range of parameters indicated.

For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we denote by $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\infty}$ the collection of functions f, measurable on $\mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$\|f\|_{\nu,\infty} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x>0} |x^\nu f(x)| < \infty.$$

The boundedness properties of the transforms (2.4) - (2.7) and their Mellin transforms are given by the following statement.

Theorem 2. [40, Theorem 5.1] **(a)** *If* $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty, \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ *and* $\nu < \sigma(1 + \mathrm{Re}(\eta))$, *then for all* $s \geqq r$ *such that* $1/s > 1/r - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$, *the operator* $I^{\alpha}_{0+;\sigma,\eta}$ *belongs to* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}]$ *and is a one-to-one transform from* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}$. *For* $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$ *and* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$

$$\left(\mathfrak{M} I^{\alpha}_{0+;\sigma,\eta} f\right)(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\eta-s/\sigma)}{\Gamma(1+\eta+\alpha-s/\sigma)} \left(\mathfrak{M} f\right)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{2.8}$$

(b) *If* $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty, \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ *and* $\nu > -\sigma\mathrm{Re}(\eta)$, *then for all* $s \geqq r$ *such that* $1/s > 1/r - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$, *the operator* $I^{\alpha}_{-;\sigma,\eta}$ *belongs to* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}]$ *and is a one-to-one transform from* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}$. *For* $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$ *and* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$

$$\left(\mathfrak{M} I^{\alpha}_{-;\sigma,\eta} f\right)(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\eta+s/\sigma)}{\Gamma(\eta+\alpha+s/\sigma)} \left(\mathfrak{M} f\right)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{2.9}$$

(c) *If* $1 < r < \infty$ *and* $\gamma(r) \leqq \kappa(\nu - 1/2) + 1/2 < \mathrm{Re}(\eta) + 3/2$, *where*

$$\gamma(r) = \max\left[\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r'}\right] \quad \textit{for} \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \tag{2.10}$$

then for all $s \geqq r$ *such that* $s' \geqq (\kappa(\nu - 1/2) + 1/2)^{-1}$ *and* $1/s + 1/s' = 1$, *the operator* $H_{\kappa,\eta}$ *belongs to* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ *and is a one-to-one transform from* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}$ *If* $1 < r \leqq 2$ *and* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$, *then*

$$(\mathfrak{M}H_{\kappa,\eta}f)(s) = \left(\frac{2}{|\kappa|}\right)^{\kappa(s-1/2)} \frac{\Gamma([\eta + \kappa(s - 1/2) + 1]/2)}{\Gamma([\eta - \kappa(s - 1/2) + 1]/2)} (\mathfrak{M}f)(1 - s) \qquad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu). \tag{2.11}$$

(d) *If* $1 \leqq r \leqq s \leqq \infty$, *and if* $\nu < 1 - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$ *for* $\kappa > 0$ *and* $\nu > 1 - \mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$ *for* $\kappa < 0$, *then the operator* $L_{\kappa,\alpha}$ *belongs to* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ *and is a one-to-one transform from* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}$. *If* $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$ *and* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$, *then*

$$(\mathfrak{M}L_{\kappa,\alpha}f)(s) = \Gamma(\kappa[s - \alpha])|\kappa|^{1-\kappa(s-\alpha)} (\mathfrak{M}f)(1 - s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu). \tag{2.12}$$

For further investigation we also need certain elementary operators. For a function f being defined almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}_+$ we denote the operators M_ζ, W_δ and R as follows:

$$(M_\zeta f)(s) = x^\zeta f(x) \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}; \tag{2.13}$$

$$(W_\delta f)(s) = f\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) \quad \text{for } \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+; \tag{2.14}$$

$$(Rf)(x) = \frac{1}{x} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

These operators have the following properties for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leqq r < \infty$ (see [40]):

(P1) M_ζ is an isometric isomorphism of $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ onto $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu-\mathrm{Re}(\zeta),r}$, and if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ $(1 \leqq r \leqq 2)$, then

$$(\mathfrak{M}M_\zeta f)(s) = (\mathfrak{M}f)(s + \zeta) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu - \mathrm{Re}(\zeta)); \tag{2.16}$$

(P2) W_δ is an isometric isomorphism of $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$, and if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ $(1 \leqq r \leqq 2)$, then

$$(\mathfrak{M}W_\delta f)(s) = \delta^s (\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu); \tag{2.17}$$

(P3) R is an isometric isomorphism of $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ onto $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}$, and if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ $(1 \leqq r \leqq 2)$, then

$$(\mathfrak{M}Rf)(s) = (\mathfrak{M}f)(1 - s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu). \tag{2.18}$$

3. Asymptotic Properties of $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(s)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$-Theory of $\boldsymbol{H}$-Transforms

Let $a^*, \Delta, \mu, a_1^*, a_2^*$ and δ be given by (1.5) - (1.8) and (1.10), respectively. For integers m, n, p, q such that $0 \leqq m \leqq q, 0 \leqq n \leqq p$ and for $a_1, \cdots, a_p, b_1, \cdots, b_q \in \mathbb{C}$ and for $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we define α and β by

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \max\left[-\dfrac{\mathrm{Re}(b_1)}{\beta_1}, \cdots, -\dfrac{\mathrm{Re}(b_m)}{\beta_m}\right] & \text{if } m > 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } m = 0; \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \min\left[\dfrac{1-\mathrm{Re}(a_1)}{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \dfrac{1-\mathrm{Re}(a_n)}{\alpha_n}\right] & \text{if } n > 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 1. [18, Lemma 1] *If $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the estimate*

$$\left|\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(\sigma + it)\right| \sim (2\pi)^{c^*} \delta^{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} \times |t|^{\Delta\sigma + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)} \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi|t|a^*}{2} - \frac{\pi \mathrm{Im}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(t)}{2}\right\} \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$c^* = m + n - \frac{p+q}{2}, \qquad \xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j - \sum_{i=m+1}^{q} b_j \tag{3.4}$$

holds as $|t| \to \infty$ uniformly in σ for σ in any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Further,

$$\left\{\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(\sigma + it)\right\}' = \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(\sigma + it)\left[\log\delta + a_1^* \log(it) - a_2^* \log(-it) + \frac{\mu + \Delta\sigma}{it} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\right] \quad (|t| \to \infty). \tag{3.5}$$

To present $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$-theory for $\boldsymbol{H}$-transform we have to define a certain set of real numbers.

Definition 2. For the function $\mathcal{H}(s)$ given in (1.3) we call the *exceptional set* $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ the set of real numbers ν such that $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$ and $\mathcal{H}(s)$ has a zero on the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu$.

Theorem 3. [18, Theorem 3] *Suppose that*

(a) $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$

and that either of the conditions

(b) $a^* > 0$;

(c) $a^* = 0, \Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$.

holds, then we have:

(i) *There is a one-to-one transform* $\boldsymbol{H} \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,2}]$ *so that the relation*

$$(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s) = \mathcal{H}^{m,n}_{p,q}\left[\begin{array}{c|c} (a_p,\alpha_p) & \\ & s \\ (b_q,\beta_q) & \end{array}\right](\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s) \tag{3.6}$$

holds for $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$. *If* $a^* = 0,\ \Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = 0$ *and* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then the operator* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *maps* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,2}$.

(ii) *For* $f, g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *the relation*

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)\,(\boldsymbol{H}g)(x)dx = \int_0^\infty g(x)\,(\boldsymbol{H}f)(x)dx \tag{3.7}$$

holds.

(iii) *Let* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $h > 0$. *If* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > (1-\nu)h - 1$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}(\boldsymbol{H}f)(x) &= hx^{1-(\lambda+1)/h}\frac{d}{dx}\,x^{(\lambda+1)/h} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty H^{m,n+1}_{p+1,q+1}\left[xt \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} (-\lambda,h),(a_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(a_p,\alpha_p) \\ (b_1,\beta_1)\cdots,(b_q,\beta_q),(-\lambda-1,h) \end{array}\right] f(t)dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < (1-\nu)h - 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}(\boldsymbol{H}f)(x) &= -hx^{1-(\lambda+1)/h}\frac{d}{dx}\,x^{(\lambda+1)/h} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty H^{m+1,n}_{p+1,q+1}\left[xt \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} (a_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(a_p,\alpha_p),(-\lambda,h) \\ (-\lambda-1,h),(b_1,\beta_1)\cdots,(b_q,\beta_q) \end{array}\right] f(t)dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

(iv) $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is independent on* ν *in the sense that if* ν_1 *and* ν_2 *satisfy* (a), *and* (b) *or* (c), *and if the transforms* $\boldsymbol{H}_1$ *and* $\boldsymbol{H}_2$ *are given by* (3.6), *then* $\boldsymbol{H}_1 f = \boldsymbol{H}_2 f$ *for* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu_1,2} \bigcap \mathfrak{L}_{\nu_2,2}$.

Corollary. *Let* $\alpha < \beta$ *and one of the following conditions holds:*

(b) $a^* > 0$;

(e) $a^* = 0, \Delta > 0$ *and* $\alpha < -\dfrac{\mathrm{Re}(\mu)}{\Delta}$;

(f) $a^* = 0, \Delta < 0$ *and* $\beta > -\dfrac{\mathrm{Re}(\mu)}{\Delta}$;

(g) $a^* = 0, \Delta = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$.

Then the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ *can be defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *with* $1 - \beta < \nu < 1 - \alpha$.

Theorem 4. [18, Theorem 4] *Let* $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$ *and either of the following conditions holds*:

(b) $a^* > 0$;

(d) $a^* = 0, \Delta(1 - \nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$.

Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+, (\boldsymbol{H}f)(x)$ *is given by* (1.1) *for* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$.

Corollary. *Let* $\alpha < \beta$ *and one of the following conditions holds*:

(b) $a^* > 0$;

(h) $a^* = 0, \Delta > 0$ *and* $\alpha < -\dfrac{1 + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)}{\Delta}$;

(i) $a^* = 0, \Delta < 0$ *and* $\beta > -\dfrac{1 + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)}{\Delta}$;

(j) $a^* = 0, \Delta = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$.

Then the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ *can be defined by* (1.1) *on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *with* $1 - \beta < \nu < 1 - \alpha$.

4. $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$-Theory of the Transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ $(a^* = \Delta = 0)$

In this section, basing on the existence of the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ on the space $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ which is guaranteed in Theorem 3 for some $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a^* = \Delta = 0$, we prove that such a transform can be extended to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ for $1 < r < \infty$ such that $\boldsymbol{H} \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ for a certain range of the value s. We also characterize the range of $\boldsymbol{H}$ on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ in terms of the Erdélyi-Kober type fractional integral operators $I^{\alpha}_{0+;\sigma,\eta}$ and $I^{\alpha}_{-;\sigma,\eta}$ given in (2.4) and (2.5) except for its isolated values $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$. The results will be different in the cases $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) \neq 0$, where μ is defined by (1.7). First we consider the former case.

Theorem 5. *Let* $a^* = \Delta = 0, \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = 0$ *and* $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$.

(a) *The transform* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *and it can be extended to* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *as an element of* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ *for* $1 < r < \infty$.

(b) *If* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *the transform* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is one-to-one on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and there holds the equality*

$$(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s) = \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1 - s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu). \tag{4.1}$$

(c) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is one-to-one on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and there holds*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}. \tag{4.2}$$

(d) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r'}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty$ *and* $r' = r/(r-1)$, *then the relation* (3.7) *holds.*

(e) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, h > 0$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.8) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > (1-\nu)h - 1$, *while* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.9) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < (1-\nu)h - 1$.

Proof. Since $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$, then according to Theorem 3 the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ is defined on $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_0(s)$ the function

$$\mathcal{H}_0(s) = \delta^{-s}\mathcal{H}(s), \tag{4.3}$$

where δ is defined in (1.10). It follows from (3.3) that

$$|\mathcal{H}_0(\sigma+it)| \sim \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} (2\pi)^{c^*} e^{-\pi \mathrm{Im}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(t)/2} \quad (|t| \to \infty) \tag{4.4}$$

is uniformly in σ for σ in any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_0(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$, and if $\alpha < \sigma_1 \leqq \sigma_2 < \beta$, then $|\mathcal{H}_0(s)|$ is bounded in the strip $\sigma_1 \leqq \mathrm{Re}(s) \leqq \sigma_2$. Since $a^* = \Delta = 0$, then in accordance with (1.9) $a_1^* = -a_2^* = \Delta/2 = 0$. Then from (4.3) and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0'(\sigma+it) &= \mathcal{H}_0(\sigma+it)\left[-\log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma+it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma+it)}\right] \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0(\sigma+it)\left[-\log(\delta) + \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathrm{Im}(\mu)}{it} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\right] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad (|t| \to \infty) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for $\alpha < \sigma < \beta$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_0(s)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}$ (see Definition 1) with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_0) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_0) = \beta$. Therefore by virtue of Theorem 1, there is a transform $T \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ with $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$. When $1 < r \leqq 2$, then T is one-to-one on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and the relation

$$(\mathfrak{M}Tf)(s) = \mathcal{H}_0(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu) \tag{4.6}$$

holds for $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$. Let

$$\boldsymbol{H}_0 = W_\delta T R, \tag{4.7}$$

where W_δ and R are given by (2.14) and (2.15). According to the properties (P2) and (P3) of the operators W_δ and R, we find $R \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$, $W_\delta \in [\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ and hence $\boldsymbol{H}_0 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ for $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $1 < r < \infty$, too. When $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, $1 < r \leqq 2$ and $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$, it follows from (4.7), (2.17), (4.6), (2.18) and (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_0 f)(s) &= (\mathfrak{M}W_\delta TRf)(s) = \delta^s (\mathfrak{M}TRf)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \mathcal{H}_0(s)(\mathfrak{M}Rf)(s) = \delta^s \mathcal{H}_0(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s) = \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$. In particular, for $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ Theorem 3 (i), (3.6) and (4.8) imply the equality

$$(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_0 f)(s) = (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{H}_0 f = \boldsymbol{H}f$ for $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and therefore if $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, $\boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{H}_0$ on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ by Theorem 3 (iv). Since $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2} \bigcap \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ [39, Theorem 2.2], $\boldsymbol{H}$ can be extended to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ if we define it there by $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, and then $\boldsymbol{H} \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$. This completes the proof of assertion (a) of the theorem.

The assertions (b) - (e) are proved similarly to those in [19, Theorem 4.1] where the case $\delta = 1$ was considered, if we take into account that W_δ is a one-to-one transform on $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}$ and $W_\delta(\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}) = \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}$ for $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $1 < r < \infty$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 6. *Let* $a^* = \Delta = 0, \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < 0$ *and* $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, *and let either* $m > 0$ *or* $n > 0$.

(a) *The transform* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *can be extended to* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *for* $1 < r < \infty$ *as an element of* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ *for all* $s \geqq r$ *such that* $1/s > 1/r + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$.

(b) *If* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and there holds the equality* (4.1).

(c) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and there hold*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = I^{-\mu}_{-;k,-\alpha/k}(\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}) \tag{4.10}$$

for $k \geqq 1$ *and* $m > 0$, *and*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = I^{-\mu}_{0+;k,\beta/k-1}(\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}) \tag{4.11}$$

for $0 < k \leqq 1$ *and* $n > 0$. *If* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of* $I^{-\mu}_{-;k,-\alpha/k}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *or* $I^{-\mu}_{0+;k,\beta/k-1}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$, *when* $m > 0$ *or* $n > 0$, *respectively.*

(d) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty, 1 < s < \infty$ *and* $1 \leqq 1/r + 1/s < 1 - \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, *then the relation* (3.7) *holds.*

(e) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, h > 0$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (1.8) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > (1-\nu)h - 1$, *while* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.9) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < (1-\nu)h - 1$. *If furthermore* $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (1.1).

Proof. Since $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, $a^* = 0$ and $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < 0$, then from Theorem 3 the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ is defined on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$.

If $m > 0$ or $n > 0$, then α or β are finite in view of (3.1) and (3.2). We set

$$\mathcal{H}_1(s) = \frac{\Gamma([s-\alpha]/k - \mu)}{\Gamma([s-\alpha]/k)}\mathcal{H}(s) \tag{4.12}$$

$$= \mathcal{H}^{m+1,n}_{p+1,q+1}\left[\begin{array}{c|c} (a_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(a_p,\alpha_p),(-\alpha/k,1/k) & \\ (-\mu-\alpha/k,1/k),(b_1,\beta_1),\cdots,(b_q,\beta_q) & s \end{array}\right]$$

for $m > 0$ and $k \geqq 1$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_2(s) = \frac{\Gamma([\beta-s]/k - \mu)}{\Gamma([\beta-s]/k)}\mathcal{H}(s) \tag{4.13}$$

$$= \mathcal{H}^{m,n+1}_{p+1,q+1}\left[\begin{array}{l} (1+\mu-\beta/k,1/k),(a_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(a_p,\alpha_p) \\ (b_1,\beta_1),\cdots,(b_q,\beta_q),(1-\beta/k,1/k), \end{array}\middle| s\right]$$

for $n > 0$ and $0 < k \leqq 1$. We denote by α_1, β_1, $\widetilde{a}^*_1$, Δ_1, δ_1 and μ_1 for $\mathcal{H}_1$, and by α_2, β_2, $\widetilde{a}^*_2$, Δ_2, δ_2 and μ_2 for $\mathcal{H}_2$ instead of that for $\mathcal{H}$. Then we find that

$$\alpha_1 = \max[\alpha,\alpha+k\mathrm{Re}(\mu)] = \alpha, \beta_1 = \beta, \widetilde{a}^*_1 = a^* = 0, \Delta_1 = \Delta = 0, \delta_1 = \delta, \mu_1 = 0,$$
$$\alpha_2 = \alpha, \beta_2 = \min[\beta,\beta-k\mathrm{Re}(\mu)] = \beta, \widetilde{a}^*_2 = a^* = 0, \Delta_2 = \Delta = 0, \delta_2 = \delta, \mu_2 = 0,$$

and the exceptional sets $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ coincide with that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Then according to Theorem 5, if $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $1 < r < \infty$, there are transforms $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_1 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r},\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ for $m > 0$, $k \geqq 1$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_2 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r},\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ for $n > 0$, $0 < k \leqq 1$; and if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ with $1 < r \leqq 2$, then by (4.1)

$$\text{(4.14)} \qquad \left(\mathfrak{M}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_i f\right)(s) = \mathcal{H}_i(s)\,(\mathfrak{M}f)\,(1-s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu;\ i = 1,2).$$

We set

$$\text{(4.15)} \qquad \boldsymbol{H}_1 = I^{-\mu}_{-;k,-\alpha/k}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_1 \quad \text{for} \quad m > 0, k \geqq 1,$$

and

$$\text{(4.16)} \qquad \boldsymbol{H}_2 = I^{-\mu}_{0+;k,\beta/k-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_2 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0, 0 < k \leqq 1.$$

Using Theorem 2 (b) in the first case and Theorem 2 (a) in the second, and taking the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5, we arrive at the assertion (a) of the theorem. The assertions (b) - (e) are proved similarly to that in [19, Theorem 4.2] (while considering the case $\delta = 1$) on the basis of Theorem 5 and Theorem 4 in the case $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$.

5. $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$-Theory of the Transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ $(a^* = 0, \Delta \neq 0)$

In this section we discuss that, if $a^* = 0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$, then the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ defined on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ can be extended to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ such as $\boldsymbol{H} \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r},\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ for some range of values s. Then we characterize the range $\boldsymbol{H}$ on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$, except for its isolated values $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in terms of the Hankel modified transform $\boldsymbol{H}_{k,\eta}$ and the elementary transform M_ζ given in (2.6) and (2.13). The result will be different in the cases $\Delta > 0$ and $\Delta < 0$. First we consider the case $\Delta > 0$.

Theorem 7. *Let $a^* = 0, \Delta > 0, -\infty < \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta, 1 < r < \infty$ and $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \gamma(r)$, where $\gamma(r)$ is defined in* (2.10).

(a) *The transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ defined on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ can be extended to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ to be an element of $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r},\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ for all s with $r \leqq s < \infty$ such that $s' \geqq [1/2 - \Delta(1-\nu) - \mathrm{Re}(\mu)]^{-1}$ with $1/s + 1/s' = 1$.*

(b) *If $1 < r \leqq 2$, the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ is one-to-one on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ and there holds the equality*

$$\text{(5.1)} \qquad (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s) = \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu).$$

(c) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$*, then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$*. If we set* $\eta = -\Delta\alpha - \mu - 1$*, then* $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) < -1$ *and there holds*

$$(5.2) \qquad \boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} H_{\Delta,\eta} M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} H_{\Delta,\eta}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu-\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta-1/2,r}).$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$*, then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (5.2).

(d) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty, 1 < s < \infty, 1/r + 1/s \geqq 1$ *and* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \max[\gamma(r), \gamma(s)]$*, then the relation* (3.7) *holds.*

(e) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, h > 0$ *and* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \gamma(r)$*, then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.8) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > (1-\nu)h - 1$*, while* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.9) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < (1-\nu)h - 1$*. If* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$*,* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (1.1).

Proof. Since $\gamma(r) \geqq 1/2$, we have $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$ by the assumption, and hence from Theorem 3 the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ is defined on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$. The condition $\Delta > 0$ and the relation $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$ imply $\nu \geqq 1 + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$ and $\alpha < -\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$.

Since $a^* = 0$, then by (1.9)

$$(5.3) \qquad a_1^* = -a_2^* = \frac{\Delta}{2} > 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_3(s)$ the function

$$(5.4) \qquad \mathcal{H}_3(s) = \delta^{s-1} (a_1^*)^{(1-s)\Delta+\mu} \, \frac{\Gamma(-\mu + a_1^*[s-1-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[1-\alpha-s])} \, \mathcal{H}(1-s).$$

As already known, the function $\mathcal{H}(1-s)$ is analytic in the strip $1-\beta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1-\alpha$ and the function $\Gamma(-\mu + a_1^*[s-1-\alpha])$ is analytic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \alpha + 1 + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)/a_1^* = \alpha + 1 + 2\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$. Since $\alpha < -\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$, then $1 - \alpha > \alpha + 1 + 2\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$. Therefore if we take $\alpha_1 = \max[1-\beta, \alpha + 1 + 2\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta]$ and $\beta_1 = 1 - \alpha$, then $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_3(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha_1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta_1$.

Setting $s = \sigma + it$ and a complex constant $k = c + id$, we have the behavior

$$(5.5) \qquad \Gamma(s+k) = \Gamma(c + \sigma + i[d+t]) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |t|^{c+\sigma-1/2} e^{-\pi|t|/2 - \pi d \,\mathrm{sign}(t)/2}$$

as $|t| \to \infty$ (see [18, (2.12)]). Then by taking $a^* = 0$, $\Delta = 2a_1^*$ and (3.3) into account we have from (5.4) that

$$(5.6) \qquad |\mathcal{H}_3(\sigma + it)| \sim (2\pi)^{c^*} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} \, (a_1^*)^{(1-\sigma)\Delta+\mathrm{Re}(\mu)}$$
$$\times \; |a_1^* t|^{2a_1^*\sigma - 2a_1^* - \mathrm{Re}(\mu)} \, |t|^{(1-\sigma)\Delta+\mathrm{Re}(\mu)} e^{\pi[\mathrm{Im}(\mu)-\mathrm{Im}(\xi)]\mathrm{sign}(t)/2} = \kappa \neq 0$$

as $|t| \to \infty$, uniformly in σ for σ in any bounded interval. Therefore, if $\alpha_1 < \sigma_1 \leqq \sigma_2 < \beta_1$, then $\mathcal{H}_3(s)$ is bounded in $\sigma_1 \leqq \mathrm{Re}(s) \leqq \sigma_2$.

If $\alpha_1 < \sigma < \beta_1$, then

$$(5.7) \qquad \mathcal{H}_3'(\sigma + it) = \mathcal{H}_3(\sigma + it) \Bigg\{ \log(\delta) - \Delta \log(a_1^*) + a_1^* \psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha-1] - \mu)$$
$$+ a_1^* \psi(a_1^*[1-\alpha-s]) - \frac{\mathcal{H}'(1-s)}{\mathcal{H}(1-s)} \Bigg\},$$

where ψ is the psi-function $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$. Applying the estimate

$$\psi(c+\sigma+it) = \log(it) + \frac{c+\sigma-1/2}{it} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \quad (|t| \to \infty) \tag{5.8}$$

with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [40, (3.9a)] and using (3.5) with $a^* = 0$, (5.3), (5.6) and (5.8), we have from (5.7), as $|t| \to \infty$,

(5.9)$\mathcal{H}_3'(\sigma+it)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{H}_3(\sigma+it)\Bigg\{\log(\delta) - 2a_1^*\log(a_1^*) + a_1^*\left[\log(ia_1^*t) + \frac{a_1^*(\sigma-\alpha-1)-\mu-1/2}{ia_1^*t}\right] \\
&\quad + a_1^*\left[\log(-ia_1^*t) - \frac{a_1^*(1-\alpha-\sigma)-1/2}{ia_1^*t}\right] \\
&\quad - \left[\log(\delta) + a_1^*\log(-it) + a_1^*\log(it) - \frac{\mu+\Delta(1-\sigma)}{it}\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\Bigg\} = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{H}_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_3) = \alpha_1$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_3) = \beta_1$. Hence due to Theorem 1 there is a transform $T_3 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_3$ with $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha_1 < \nu < \beta_1$, and if $1 < r \leqq 2$, then T_3 is a one-to-one on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ and

$$(\mathfrak{M}T_3 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_3(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{5.10}$$

In particular, if ν and r satisfy the hypothesis of this theorem, it is directly verified that $\alpha_1 < \nu < \beta_1$ and hence the relation (5.10) is true.

For $\eta = -\Delta\alpha - \mu - 1$ let $\boldsymbol{H}_3$ be the operator

$$\boldsymbol{H}_3 = W_\delta M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} H_{\Delta,\eta} M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} T_3 \tag{5.11}$$

composed by the operator W_δ in (2.14), the operator M_ζ in (2.13), the modified Hankel transform (2.6) and the transform T_3 above, where $\alpha < -\mathrm{Re}(\mu)/\Delta$ so that $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) > -1$. For $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha_1 < \nu < \beta_1$ the properties (P1), (P2) and Theorem 2(c) yield $\boldsymbol{H}_3 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{r,\nu}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$ for all $s \geqq r$ such that $s' \geqq [1/2 - \Delta(1-\nu) - \mathrm{Re}(\mu)]^{-1}$, and in particular, $\boldsymbol{H}_3 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{r,\nu}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$.

If $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ with $1 < r \leqq 2$, then applying (2.17), (2.16), (2.11), (5.10) and (5.4) and using the relation $\eta = -\Delta\alpha - \mu - 1$, we have for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu$

(5.12) $\quad (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_3 f)(s)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\mathfrak{M}W_\delta M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} H_{\Delta,\eta} M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} T_3 f\right)(s) \\
&= \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M}M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} H_{\Delta,\eta} M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} T_3 f\right)(s) \\
&= \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M}H_{\Delta,\eta} M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} T_3 f\right)\left(s + \frac{\mu}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&= \delta^s \left(\frac{2}{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta s+\mu} \frac{\Gamma([\eta+\Delta s+\mu+1]/2)}{\Gamma([\eta-\Delta s-\mu+1]/2)} \left(\mathfrak{M}M_{\mu/\Delta+1/2} T_3 f\right)\left(1 - s - \frac{\mu}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta^s \left(\frac{2}{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta s+\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta[s-\alpha]/2)}{\Gamma(-\mu-\Delta[s+\alpha]/2)} (\mathfrak{M}T_3 f)(1-s)$$

$$= \delta^s (a_1^*)^{-\mu-\Delta s} \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(-\mu-a_1^*[s+\alpha])} \mathcal{H}_3(1-s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s)$$

$$= \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s).$$

In particular, if we take $r = 2$ and $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$, then $(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_3 f)(s) = (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s)$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$, and hence $\boldsymbol{H}_3 = \boldsymbol{H}$ on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$. Thus, for all ν and r satisfying the hypotheses of this theorem, $\boldsymbol{H}$ can be extended from $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ if we define it by $\boldsymbol{H}_3$ given in (5.11) as an operator on $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$. This completes the proof of the statement (a) of the theorem.

The assertions (b) - (e) are proved similarly to those in [19, Theorem 5.1], when the case $\delta = 1$ are considered, and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. *Let* $a^* = 0, \Delta < 0, \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta < \infty, 1 < r < \infty$ *and* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \gamma(r)$.

(a) *The transform* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *can be extended to* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *to be an element of* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$ *for all* s *with* $r \leqq s < \infty$ *such that* $s' \geqq [1/2 - \Delta(1-\nu) - \mathrm{Re}(\mu)]^{-1}$ *with* $1/s + 1/s' = 1$.

(b) *If* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then the transform* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is one-to-one on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and there holds the equality* (5.1).

(c) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$. *If we set* $\eta = -\Delta\beta - \mu - 1$, *then* $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) > -1$ *and the relation* (5.2) *holds. When* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (5.2).

(d) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty, 1 < s < \infty, 1/r + 1/s \geqq 1$ *and* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \max[\gamma(r), \gamma(s)]$, *then the relation* (3.7) *holds.*

(e) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *with* $1 < r < \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, h > 0$ *and* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 1/2 - \gamma(r)$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.8) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > (1-\nu)h - 1$, *while* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (3.9) *for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < (1-\nu)h - 1$. *If* $\Delta(1-\nu) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) < -1$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}f$ *is given by* (1.1).

The proof of this theorem is based on Theorem 7 and it is similarly to that in the case $\delta = 1$ in [19, Theorem 5.2].

Corollary. *Let* $1 < r < \infty, \alpha < \beta, a^* = 0$ *and one of the following conditions holds*

(**a**) $\Delta > 0, \quad \alpha < \dfrac{1/2 - \mathrm{Re}(\mu) - \gamma(r)}{\Delta}$;

(**b**) $\Delta < 0, \quad \beta > \dfrac{1/2 - \mathrm{Re}(\mu) - \gamma(r)}{\Delta}$;

(**c**) $\Delta = 0, \quad \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leqq 0$.

Then the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ *can be defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *with* $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$.

6. $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$-Theory of the Transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ ($a^* > 0, a_1^* \geqq 0$ and $a_2^* \geqq 0$)

When $a^* > 0$ and $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, then according to Theorem 4 the $\boldsymbol{H}$-transform is defined on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and given by (1.1). Let us show that it can be extended to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ for any $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty$. The next statement is proved similarly to that in case $\delta = 1$ in [20, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 9. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ *and* $1 \leqq r \leqq s \leqq \infty$.

(a) *The* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-transform given in* (1.1) *is defined on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ *and can be extended to* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *as an element of* $[\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$. *If* $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform from* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}$.

(b) *If* $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,s'}$ *with* $1/s + 1/s' = 1$, *then the relation* (3.7) *holds.*

We give conditions for the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ to be one-to-one on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ and to characterize its range on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ except for its isolated values $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, in terms of the modified Laplace transform $L_{\kappa,\alpha}$ and the Erdélyi-Kober type fractional integration operators $I^{\alpha}_{0+;\sigma,\eta}$ and $I^{\alpha}_{-;\sigma,\eta}$ given in (2.7), (2.4) and (2.5). In this section we consider the case when $a^* > 0, a_1^* \geqq 0$ and $a_2^* \geqq 0$. The results will be different along combinations of signs of a_1^* and a_2^*. First we consider the case $a_1^* > 0$ and $a_2^* > 0$.

Theorem 10. *Let* $a_1^* > 0$, $a_2^* > 0$, $-\infty < \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta < \infty$ *and* $\omega = \mu + a_1^*\alpha - a_2^*\beta + 1$ *and let* $1 < r < \infty$.

(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 \leqq r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.

(b) *If* $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$ *and* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}). \tag{6.1}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (6.1).

(c) *If* $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$ *and* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}). \tag{6.2}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (6.2).

Proof. We first consider the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$. We define $\mathcal{H}_4(s)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_4(s) = \frac{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}(a_2^*)^{a_2^*(\beta-s)+\omega-1}}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])\Gamma(a_2^*[\beta-s]+\omega)}\,\delta^{-s}\mathcal{H}(s). \tag{6.3}$$

Since $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$, the function $\mathcal{H}_4(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$. According to (3.3) and (5.5) we have the estimate, as $|t| \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}(6.4)\,|\mathcal{H}_4(\sigma+it)| &\sim (a_1^*)^{a_1^*(\sigma-\alpha)-1}(a_2^*)^{a_2^*(\beta-\sigma)+\mathrm{Re}(\omega)-1} \\ &\times \frac{1}{2\pi}(a_1^*|t|)^{a_1^*(\alpha-\sigma)+1/2}(a_2^*|t|)^{a_2^*(\sigma-\beta)-\mathrm{Re}(\omega)+1/2}e^{[(a_1^*+a_2^*)|t|-\mathrm{Im}(\omega)\mathrm{sign}(t)]\pi/2} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2}(2\pi)^{c^*}|t|^{\Delta\sigma+\mathrm{Re}(\mu)}e^{-[a^*|t|+\mathrm{Im}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(t)]\pi/2} \\ &\sim \prod_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2}(2\pi)^{c^*-1}(a_1^*a_2^*)^{-1/2}e^{-[\mathrm{Im}(\xi)+\mathrm{Im}(\omega)]\mathrm{sign}(t)\pi/2}\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in σ on any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Further, in accordance with (3.5) and (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_4'(\sigma+it) &= \mathcal{H}_4(\sigma+it)\Big\{a_1^*\log(a_1^*) - a_2^*\log(a_2^*) - a_1^*\psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha]) \\
&\qquad + a_2^*\psi(a_2^*[\beta-s]+\omega) - \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma+it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma+it)}\Big\} \\
&= \mathcal{H}_4(\sigma+it)\Big\{a_1^*\log(a_1^*) - a_2^*\log(a_2^*) - a_1^*\left[\log(ia_1^*t) + \frac{a_1^*(\sigma-\alpha)-1/2}{ia_1^*t}\right] \\
&\qquad + a_2^*\left[\log(-ia_2^*t) - \frac{a_2^*(\beta-\sigma)+\omega-1/2}{ia_2^*t}\right] - \log(\delta) \\
&\qquad + \left[\log(\delta) + a_1^*\log(it) - a_2^*\log(-it) + \frac{\mu+\Delta\sigma}{it}\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\Big\} \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

as $|t| \to \infty$. So $\mathcal{H}_4 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_4) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_4) = \beta$ and Theorem 1 implies that there is a transform $T_4 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ for $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$ so that if $1 < r \leqq 2$, then the relation

$$(\mathfrak{M}T_4 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_4(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu) \tag{6.6}$$

holds. Let

$$\boldsymbol{H}_4 = W_\delta L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*} T_4 R, \tag{6.7}$$

where W_δ and R are defined by (2.14) and (2.15). Then it follows from the properties (P2) and (P3) in Section 2 and Theorem 2 (d) that if $1 < r \leqq s < \infty$ and $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_4 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$.

For $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ applying (6.7), (2.17), (2.12), (6.6) and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_4 f)(s) &= \left(\mathfrak{M}W_\delta L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*} T_4 R f\right)(s) \\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \left(\mathfrak{M}L_{a_2^*,1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*} T_4 R f\right)(1-s) \\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \frac{\Gamma\{a_2^*[1+s-(1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*)]\}}{(a_2^*)^{a_2^*[1-s-(1-\beta-\omega/a_2^*)]-1}} (\mathfrak{M}T_4 R f)(s) \\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[\beta-s]+\omega)}{(a_2^*)^{a_2^*(\beta-s)+\omega-1}} \mathcal{H}_4(s)(\mathfrak{M}Rf)(s) \\
&= \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Thus we obtain that if $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$, then $(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_4 f)(s) = (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}f)(s)$ with $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$. Hence $\boldsymbol{H}_4 = \boldsymbol{H}$ on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ can be extended from $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ to $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ if we define it by (6.7). Further the proof of assertion (b) is carried out similarly to that in [20, Theorem 3.1] for the case $\delta = 1$.

Let $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_5(s)$ the function

$$\mathcal{H}_5(s) = \frac{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}(a_2^*)^{a_2^*(\beta-s)-1}\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)}{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])\Gamma(a_2^*[\beta-s])}\, \delta^{-s}\mathcal{H}(s), \tag{6.9}$$

which is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$. Similar arguments to (6.4) and (6.5) show the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{H}_5(\sigma+it)| \\ &\sim \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} (2\pi)^{c^*-1} (a_1^*)^{-\mathrm{Re}(\omega)-1/2} (a_2^*)^{-1/2} e^{[-\mathrm{Im}(\xi)+\mathrm{Im}(\omega)]\mathrm{sign}(t)\pi/2} \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_5'(\sigma+it) = \mathcal{H}_5(\sigma+it) \bigg\{ & a_1^* \log(a_1^*) - a_2^* \log(a_2^*) + a_1^* \psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega) \\ & -2a_1^*\psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha]) + a_2^*\psi(a_2^*[\beta-s]) - \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma+it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma+it)} \bigg\} \\ = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right), & \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

as $|t| \to \infty$ hold uniformly in σ on any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. So $\mathcal{H}_5 \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_5) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_5) = \beta$. By Theorem 1 there is a transform $T_5 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ for $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$ so that, if $1 < r \leqq 2$,

$$(\mathfrak{M}T_5 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_5(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{6.12}$$

Let

$$\boldsymbol{H}_5 = W_\delta I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta} T_5 R. \tag{6.13}$$

Using again the properties (P2), (P3) in Section 2 and Theorem 2 (b), (d), we have that if $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $1 < r \leqq s < \infty$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_5 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$. For $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$ applying (6.13), (2.17), (2.9), (2.12), (6.12), (2.18) and (6.9) we obtain similarly to (6.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_5 f\right)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M} I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta} T_5 R f\right)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)} \left(\mathfrak{M} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta} T_5 R f\right)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)} \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha[)}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \left(\mathfrak{M} L_{a_2^*,1-\beta} T_5 R f\right)(1-s) \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

$$= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)} \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[1-s]-[1-\beta])}{(a_2^*)^{a_2^*((1-s)-(1-\beta))-1}} \left(\mathfrak{M}T_5 Rf\right)(s)$$

$$= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])\Gamma(a_2^*[\beta-s])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}(a_2^*)^{a_2^*(\beta-s)-1}} \,\mathcal{H}_5(s)\left(\mathfrak{M}Rf\right)(s)$$

$$= \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s).$$

Applying this equality and using similar arguments to those in the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$, we complete the proof for $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$.

Now we proceed to the case $a_1^* > 0$ and $a_2^* = 0$.

Theorem 11. *Let* $a_1^* > 0$, $a_2^* = 0$, $-\infty < \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$, $\omega = \mu + a_1^*\alpha + 1/2$ *and* $1 < r < \infty$.

(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.

(b) *If* $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$ *and* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = L_{a_1^*,\alpha-\omega/a_1^*}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}). \tag{6.15}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (6.15).

(c) *If* $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$ *and* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}). \tag{6.16}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (6.16).

Proof. We first consider the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$. We define $\mathcal{H}_6(s)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_6(s) = \frac{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)+\omega-1}}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]+\omega)}\,\delta^{-s}\mathcal{H}(s). \tag{6.17}$$

Since $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_6(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$. Arguments similar to those in (6.4) and (6.5) lead to the estimates

$$|\mathcal{H}_6(\sigma+it)| \sim \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} (2\pi)^{c^*-1/2} (a_1^*)^{-1/2} e^{[-\mathrm{Im}(\xi)+\mathrm{Im}(\omega)]\mathrm{sign}(t)\pi/2}, \tag{6.18}$$

and

(6.19) $\mathcal{H}_6'(\sigma+it)$

$$= \mathcal{H}_6(\sigma+it)\left\{a_1^*\log(a_1^*) - a_1^*\psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha]+\omega) - \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma+it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma+it)}\right\}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \quad (|t| \to \infty)$$

uniformly in σ in any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_6 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_6) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_6) = \beta$ and Theorem 1 implies that there is a transform $T_6 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ for $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$ and, if $1 < r \leqq 2$,

$$(\mathfrak{M} T_6 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_6(s)(\mathfrak{M} f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{6.20}$$

We set

$$\boldsymbol{H}_6 = W_\delta L_{a_1^*, \alpha - \omega/a_1^*} R T_6 R. \tag{6.21}$$

Then it follows from the properties (P2) and (P3) in Section 2 and Theorem 2 (d) that, if $\alpha < \nu < \beta$ and $1 < r \leqq s < \infty$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_6 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$.

For $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ applying (6.21), (2.17), (2.12), (6.20), (2.18) and (6.17), we obtain for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_6 f)(s) &= (\mathfrak{M} W_\delta L_{a_1^*,\alpha-\omega/a_1^*} R T_6 R f)(s) = \delta^s (\mathfrak{M} L_{a_1^*,\alpha-\omega/a_1^*} R T_6 R f)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s - \alpha + \omega/a_1^*])}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha+\omega/a_1^*)-1}} \, (\mathfrak{M} R T_6 R f)(1 - s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha] + \omega)}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)+\omega-1}} \, (\mathfrak{M} T_6 R f)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha] + \omega)}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)+\omega-1}} \, \mathcal{H}_6(s)(\mathfrak{M} R f)(s) \\ &= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha] + \omega)}{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)+\omega-1}} \, \mathcal{H}_6(s)(\mathfrak{M} f)(1-s) = \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M} f)(1-s).\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Applying this relation and using the arguments similarly to those in the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$ of Theorem 10, we complete the proof of theorem for $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$.

For the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$. We define $\mathcal{H}_7(s)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_7(s) = \frac{(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1} \Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha] - \omega)}{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])} \, \delta^{-s} \mathcal{H}(s), \tag{6.23}$$

which is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$, and in accordance with (3.3), (3.5), (5.5) and (5.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{H}_7(\sigma + it)| \sim \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} (2\pi)^{c^*-1/2} \\ \times (a_1^*)^{-\mathrm{Re}(\omega)+1/2} e^{-[\mathrm{Im}(\xi)-\mathrm{Im}(\omega)]\mathrm{sign}(t)\pi/2},\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_7'(\sigma + it) = \mathcal{H}_7(\sigma + it) \Big[& a_1^* \log(a_1^*) + a_1^* \psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha] - \omega) \\ & - 2a_1^* \psi(a_1^*[s-\alpha]) - \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma + it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma + it)} \Big] = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

as $|t| \to \infty$ uniformly in σ on any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_7 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_7) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_7) = \beta$. By Theorem 1, there is $T_7 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ for $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$ so that, if $1 < r \leqq 2$,

$$(\mathfrak{M}T_7 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_7(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu). \tag{6.26}$$

Setting

$$\boldsymbol{H}_7 = W_\delta I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} R T_7 R \tag{6.27}$$

according to the properties (P2) and (P3) in Section 2 and Theorem 2 (b), (d), we have that if $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta$ and $1 < r \leqq s < \infty$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_7 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,s}]$. If $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1-\nu$, applying (6.27), (2.17), (2.9), (2.12), (2.18) and (6.26) we obtain similarly to (6.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_7 f)(s) &= \left(\mathfrak{M}W_\delta I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} R T_7 R f\right)(s)\\
&= \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M}I^{-\omega}_{-;1/a_1^*,-a_1^*\alpha} L_{a_1^*,\alpha} R T_7 R f\right)(s)\\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)} \left(\mathfrak{M}L_{a_1^*,\alpha} R T_7 R f\right)(s)\\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \left(\mathfrak{M}R T_7 R f\right)(1-s)\\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \left(\mathfrak{M}T_7 R f\right)(s)\\
&= \delta^s \frac{\Gamma^2(a_1^*[s-\alpha])}{\Gamma(a_1^*[s-\alpha]-\omega)(a_1^*)^{a_1^*(s-\alpha)-1}} \mathcal{H}_7(s) \left(\mathfrak{M}R f\right)(s)\\
&= \mathcal{H}(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(1-s).\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Using this relation and the arguments similar to those in the case $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$ of Theorem 10, we complete the proof for $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$.

In the case $a_1^* = 0$ and $a_2^* > 0$ the following statement is proved on the basis of Theorem 11 similarly to that in the case $\delta = 1$ in [20, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 12. *Let $a_1^* = 0$, $a_2^* > 0$, $\alpha < 1-\nu < \beta < \infty$ and $\omega = \mu - a_2^*\beta + 1/2$ and let $1 < r < \infty$.*

(a) *If $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, or $1 < r \leqq 2$, then $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a one-to-one transform on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.*

(b) *If $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) \geqq 0$ and $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = L_{-a_2^*,\beta+\omega/a_2^*}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}). \tag{6.29}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ is a subset of the right hand side of (6.29).

(c) *If $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) < 0$ and $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(I^{-\omega}_{0+;1/a_2^*,a_2^*\beta-1} L_{-a_2^*,\beta}\right)(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}). \tag{6.30}$$

When $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (6.30).

7. $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$-Theory of $\boldsymbol{H}$-transform ($a^* > 0$ and $a_1^* < 0$ or $a_2^* < 0$)

In this section we give conditions to the transform $\boldsymbol{H}$ to be one-to-one on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ and characterize its range on $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$ except for its isolated values $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in terms of the modified Hankel transform $H_{\kappa,\eta}$ and modified Laplace transform $L_{\kappa,\alpha}$ given in (2.6) and (2.7). The results will be different in the cases $a_1^* > 0, a_2^* < 0$ and $a_1^* < 0, a_2^* > 0$. We first consider the former case.

Theorem 13. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* > 0$, $a_2^* < 0$, $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$ *and* $1 < r < \infty$.
(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.
(b) *Let*

$$\omega = a^*\eta - \mu - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{7.1}$$

where μ *is given by* (1.7), *and let* η *be chosen as*

$$a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \ \geqq\ \gamma(r) + 2a_2^*(\nu - 1) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu), \tag{7.2}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \ >\ \nu - 1, \tag{7.3}$$

with $\gamma(r)$ *being given by* (2.10), *and let* ζ *be chosen such that*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) < 1 - \nu. \tag{7.4}$$

If $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(M_{1/2+\omega/(2a_2^*)} H_{-2a_2^*, 2a_2^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{-a_2^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)}\right) \left(\mathfrak{L}_{3/2+\mathrm{Re}(\omega)/(2a_2^*)-\nu, r}\right), \tag{7.5}$$

where M_ζ *is given by* (2.13). *If* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.5).

Proof. We consider the function

$$\mathcal{H}_8(s) = \frac{(a^*)^{a^*(s+\eta)-1} |a_2^*|^{-2a_2^* s - \omega} \Gamma(a_2^*[s+\zeta] + \omega)}{\Gamma(a^*[s+\eta])\Gamma(a_2^*[\zeta - s])} \, \delta^{-s}\mathcal{H}(s). \tag{7.6}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu$ according to (7.1), (7.2), (7.4) and the relations $\gamma(r) \geqq 1/2$ and $-a_2^* > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}[a_2^*(s+\zeta) + \omega] \ &= \ a_2^*[1 - \nu + \mathrm{Re}(\zeta)] + a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) - \mathrm{Re}(\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \\
&\geqq \ a_2^*[1 - \nu + \mathrm{Re}(\zeta)] + [\gamma(r) + 2a_2^*(\nu - 1) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)] - \mathrm{Re}(\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \ a_2^*[\nu - 1 + \mathrm{Re}(\zeta)] + \gamma(r) - \frac{1}{2} \geqq a_2^*[\nu - 1 + \mathrm{Re}(\zeta)] > 0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the function $\mathcal{H}_8(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\alpha < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \beta$. Applying (3.3), (3.5), (5.5) and (5.8) we obtain the estimates

$$(7.7)\quad |\mathcal{H}_8(\sigma + it)| \sim \prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(a_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j^{\mathrm{Re}(b_j)-1/2} (2\pi)^{c^*-1/2} (a^*)^{-1/2} e^{-[2a_2^*\mathrm{Im}(\zeta)+\mathrm{Im}(\xi)+\mathrm{Im}(\omega)-a^*\mathrm{Im}(\eta)]\mathrm{sign}(t)\pi/2}$$

and

$$(7.8)\qquad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_8'(\sigma + it) = \mathcal{H}_8(\sigma + it)\Big\{ & a^* \log(a^*) - 2a_2^* \log(|a_2^*|) + a_2^*\psi(a_2^*[s+\zeta]+\omega) \\ & -a^*\psi(a^*[s+\eta]) + a_2^*\psi(a_2^*[\zeta - s]) - \log(\delta) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'(\sigma+it)}{\mathcal{H}(\sigma+it)}\Big\} \\ = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) & \end{aligned}$$

as $|t| \to \infty$, uniformly in σ on any bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$.

Thus we have $\mathcal{H}_8 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_8) = \alpha$ and $\beta(\mathcal{H}_8) = \beta$ and by Theorem 1, there is a transform $T_8 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}]$ for $1 < r < \infty$ and $\alpha < \nu < \beta$, so that if $1 < r \leqq 2$,

$$(7.9)\qquad (\mathfrak{M}T_8 f)(s) = \mathcal{H}_8(s)(\mathfrak{M}f)(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) = \nu).$$

Let

$$(7.10)\qquad \boldsymbol{H}_8 = W_\delta M_{1/2+\omega/(2a_2^*)} H_{-2a_2^*, 2a_2^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{-a^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R.$$

It is directly verified that if the conditions of theorem satisfy, then in accordance with properties (P1) - (P3) in Section 2 and Theorem 2 (c), (d), $\boldsymbol{H}_8 \in [\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}, \mathfrak{L}_{1-\nu,r}]$.

If $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,2}$, then applying (7.10), (2.17), (2.16), (2.11), (2.12), (2.16), (7.9), (2.18) and (7.6), we have for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1 - \nu$

$$\begin{aligned} (7.11)\quad & (\mathfrak{M}\boldsymbol{H}_8 f)(s) \\ & = \left(\mathfrak{M}W_\delta M_{1/2+\omega/(2a_2^*)} H_{-2a_2^*, 2a_2^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{-a^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R f\right)(s) \\ & = \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M}M_{1/2+\omega/(2a_2^*)} H_{-2a_2^*, 2a_2^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{-a^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R f\right)(s) \\ & = \delta^s \left(\mathfrak{M}H_{-2a_2^*, 2a_2^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{-a^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R f\right)\left(s + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\omega}{2a_2^*}\right) \\ & = \delta^s |a_2^*|^{2a_2^* s+\omega} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[\zeta - s])}{\Gamma(a_2^*[s+\zeta]+\omega)} \\ & \qquad \times \left(\mathfrak{M}L_{-a^*, 1/2+\eta-\omega/(2a_2^*)} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R f\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - s - \frac{\omega}{2a_2^*}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \delta^s |a_2^*|^{2a_2^* s+\omega} (a^*)^{1-a^*(s+\eta)} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[\zeta - s])\Gamma(a^*[s+\eta])}{\Gamma(a_2^*[s+\zeta]+\omega)} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\mathfrak{M} M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_2^*)} T_8 R f\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + s + \frac{\omega}{2a_2^*}\right) \\
&= \delta^s |a_2^*|^{2a_2^* s+\omega} (a^*)^{1-a^*(s+\eta)} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[\zeta - s])\Gamma(a^*[s+\eta])}{\Gamma(a_2^*[s+\zeta]+\omega)} \left(\mathfrak{M} T_8 R f\right)(s) \\
&= \delta^s |a_2^*|^{2a_2^* s+\omega} (a^*)^{1-a^*(s+\eta)} \frac{\Gamma(a_2^*[\zeta - s])\Gamma(a^*[s+\eta])}{\Gamma(a_2^*[s+\zeta]+\omega)} \mathcal{H}_8(s) \left(\mathfrak{M} R f\right)(s) \\
&= \mathcal{H}(s) \left(\mathfrak{M} f\right)(1-s).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this relation and the arguments similar to those in the case $\delta = 1$ in [20, Theorem 5.1], we complete the proof of theorem.

Corollary 1. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* > 0$, $a_2^* < 0$ *and let* $-\infty \leqq \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta \leqq \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$.

(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.

(b) *Let* ω *be given by* (7.1) *and let* η *and* ζ *be chosen such that either of the following conditions holds*

(i) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_2^*\beta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq -\alpha$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq \alpha$, *if* $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < \infty$;

(ii) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_2^*\beta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) > \nu - 1$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) < 1 - \nu$, *if* $-\infty = \alpha < \beta < \infty$;

(iii) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) + 2a_2^*(\nu - 1) + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq -\alpha$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq \alpha$, *if* $-\infty < \alpha < \beta = \infty$.

Then if $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *can be represented by the relation* (7.5), *and if* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.5).

Corollary 2. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* > 0$, $a_2^* < 0$ *and let* $-\infty < \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta < \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$.

(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.

(b) *Let* $a^*\alpha - 2a_2^*\beta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) + \gamma(r) \leqq 0$, *where* μ *is given by* (1.7), $\omega = -a^*\alpha - \mu - 1/2$ *and* ζ *be chosen such that* $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq \alpha$. *Then if* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *can be represented in the form* (7.5), *and if* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.5).

Finally we consider the case when $a^* > 0, a_1^* < 0$ and $a_2^* > 0$. On the basis of Theorem 13, the following statement is proved similarly to that in the case $\delta = 1$ in [20, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 14. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* < 0$, $a_2^* > 0$, $\alpha < 1 - \nu < \beta$ *and let* $1 < r < \infty$.

(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.

(b) *Let*

$$\omega = a^*\eta - \Delta - \mu - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{7.12}$$

where Δ *and* μ *are given by* (1.6) *and* (1.7) *and let* η *be chosen such that*

$$a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_1^*\nu + \Delta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu), \ \mathrm{Re}(\eta) > -\nu, \tag{7.13}$$

and let ζ *be as*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) < \nu. \tag{7.14}$$

If $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then*

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}) = \left(M_{-1/2-\omega/(2a_1^*)} H_{2a_1^*,2a_1^*\zeta+\omega-1} L_{a^*,1/2-\eta+\omega/(2a_1^*)}\right) \left(\mathfrak{L}_{1/2-\mathrm{Re}(\omega)/(2a_1^*)-\nu,r}\right), \tag{7.15}$$

where M_ζ *is given by* (2.13). *If* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.15).

Corollary 1. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* < 0$, $a_2^* > 0$, $-\infty \leqq \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta \leqq \beta$ *and let* $1 < r < \infty$.
(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.
(b) *Let* ω *be given by* (7.12) *and let* η *and* ζ *be chosen such that either of the following conditions holds*

(i) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_1^*(1-\nu) + \Delta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \beta - 1$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq 1-\beta$, *if* $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < \infty$;

(ii) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_1^*\nu + \Delta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \beta - 1$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq 1-\beta$, *if* $-\infty = \alpha < \beta < \infty$;

(iii) $a^*\mathrm{Re}(\eta) \geqq \gamma(r) - 2a_1^*(1-\nu) + \Delta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) > -\nu$, $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) < \nu$, *if* $-\infty < \alpha < \beta = \infty$.

Then if $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *can be represented by the relation* (7.15), *and if* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.15).

Corollary 2. *Let* $a^* > 0$, $a_1^* < 0$, $a_2^* > 0$ *and let* $-\infty < \alpha < 1-\nu < \beta < \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$.
(a) *If* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *or if* $1 < r \leqq 2$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is a one-to-one transform on* $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r}$.
(b) *Let* $2a_1^*\alpha - a_2^*\beta + \mathrm{Re}(\mu) + \gamma(r) \leqq 0$, *where* μ *is given by* (1.7), *and let* ζ *be chosen such that* $\mathrm{Re}(\zeta) \leqq 1-\beta$. *Then if* $\nu \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *can be represented by the relation* (7.15), *and if* $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$, *then* $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,r})$ *is a subset of the right hand side of* (7.15).

References

[1] V.M. Bhise and M. Dighe, On composition of integral operators with Fourier-type kernels, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **11**(1980), 1183-1187.

[2] B.L.J. Braaksma, Asymptotic expansions and analytic continuation for a class of Barnes integrals, *Compositiones Math.* **15**(1964), 239-341.

[3] Yu.A. Bruchkov, H.-J. Glaeske, A.P. Prudnikov and Vu Kim Tuan, *Multidimensional Integral Transformations*, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia-Reading-Paris-Montreux-Tokyo-Melbourne, 1992.

[4] R.G. Buschman and H.M. Srivastava, Inversion formulas for the integral transformation with the H-function as kernel, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **6**(1975), 583-589.

[5] M. Dighe, Composition of fractional integral operator and an operator with Fourier-type kernel, *Bull Univ. Brasov* **C20**(1978/79), 3-8.

[6] A. Erdélyi, On some fractional transformations, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politecn. Torino* **10**(1950), 217-234.

[7] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York-Toronto-London, 1953.

[8] C. Fox, The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**(1961), 395-429.

[9] K.C. Gupta and P.K. Mittal, The H-function transform, *J. Austrial. Mth. Soc.* **11**(1970), 142-148.

[10] K.C. Gupta and P.K. Mittal, The H-function transform II, *J. Austrial. Mth. Soc.* **12**(1971), 445-450.

[11] S.L. Kalla, Integral operators involving Fox's H-function, *Acta Mexicana Ci. Tecn.* **3**(1969), 117-122.

[12] S.L. Kalla, Integral operators involving Fox's H-function II, *Notas Ci. Ser. M. Mat.* **7**(1969), 72-79.

[13] S.L. Kalla, Fractional integration operators involving generalized hypergeometric functions, *Univ. Nat. Tucumán Rev.*, Ser. A **20**(1970), 93-100.

[14] S.L. Kalla, On the solution of an integral equation involving a kernel of Mellin-Barnes type integral, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **12**(1972), 93-101.

[15] S.L. Kalla, Operators of fractional integration, *Analytic Functions*, Kozubnik 1979, Lecture Notes in Math, **798**, 258-280, Springer, Belin, 1980.

[16] S.L. Kalla and V.S. Kiryakova, An H-function generalized fractional calculus based upon compositions of Erdélyi-Kober type operators in L_p, *Math. Japon.* **35**(1990), 1151-1171.

[17] R.N. Kesarwani, A pair of unsymmetrical Fourier kernels, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115**(1965), 356-369.

[18] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo and S.A. Shlapakov, Integral transforms with Fox's H-function in spaces of summable functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **1**(1993), 87-103.

[19] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo and S.A. Shlapakov, Integral transforms with Fox's H-function in $L_{\nu,r}$-spaces, *Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep.* **23**(1993), 9-31.

[20] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo and S.A. Shlapakov, Integral transforms with Fox's H-function in $L_{\nu,r}$-spaces, II, *Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep.* **24**(1994), 13-38.

[21] A.A. Kilbas and S.A. Shlapakov, On a Bessel-type integral transformation and its compositions with integral and differential operators (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* **37**(1993), No.4, 10-14.

[22] A.A. Kilbas and S.A. Shlapakov, The composition of a Bessel-type integral operator with fractional integro-differentiation operators and its application to solving differential equations, *Differential Equations* **30**(1994), 235-246.

[23] A.A. Kilbas and S.A. Shlapakov, On an integral transform with Fox's H-function (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* **38**(1994), No.1, 12-15.

[24] V.S. Kiryakova, Fractional integration operators involving Fox's $H^{m,0}_{m,m}$-function, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **41**(1988), 11-14.

[25] V.S. Kiryakova, *Generalized fractional Calculus and Applications*, Res. Notes Math., Vol. 301, Pitman, San Francisco *et alibi*, 1994.

[26] H. Kober, On fractional integrals and derivatives, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.* **11**(1940), 193-211.

[27] E. Krätzel, Integral transformations of Bessel-type, *General Functions and Operational Calculus*, (Proc. Conf., Varna, 1975), 148-155, Bulg. Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1979.

[28] R.K. Kumbhat, On inversion formula for an integral transform, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **7**(1976), 368-375.

[29] A.M. Mathai and R.K. Saxena, *The H-Function with Applications in Statistics and Othe Disciplines*, Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1978.

[30] A.C. McBride, Solution of hypergeometric integral equations involving generalized functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **19**(1975), 265-285.

[31] A.C. McBride, *Fractional Calculus and Integral Transforms of Generalized Functions*, Res. Notes Math. **31**, Pitman, San Trancisco-London-Melbourne, 1979.

[32] A.C. McBride and W.J. Spratt, On the range and invertibility of a class of Mellin multiplier transforms, I, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **156**(1991), 568-587.

[33] A.C. McBride and W.J. Spratt, On the range and invertibility of a class of Mellin multiplier transforms, III, *Can. J. Math.* **43**(1991), 1323-1338.

[34] C. Nasim, An integral equation involving Fox's H-function, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **13**(1982), 1149-1162.

[35] T.R. Prabhaker, A class of integral equations with Gauss function in the kernels, Math. Nachr. **52**(1972), 71-83.

[36] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu.A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, Vol. 3, *More Special Functions*, Gordon & Breach, New York *et alibi*, 1990.

[37] R.K. Raina and M. Saigo, Fractional calculus operators involving Fox's H-function in spaces $F_{p,\mu}$ and $F'_{p,\mu}$, *Recent Advances in Fractional Calculus*, 219-229, Global Publ., Souk Rapids (Minnesota), 1993.

[38] J. Rodriguez, J.J. Trujillo and M. Rivero, Operational fractional calculus of Kratzel integral transformation, *Differential equations* (Xanthi 1987), 613-620, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., **118**, Dekker, New York, 1989.

[39] P.G. Rooney, A technique for studying the boundedness and extendability of certain types of operators, *Cand. J. Math.* **25**(1973), 1090-1102.

[40] P.G. Rooney, On integral transformations with G-function kernels, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, Sec. A **93**(1982/83), 265-297.

[41] M. Saigo, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions, *Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ.* **11**(1978), 135-143.

[42] M. Saigo and H.-J. Glaeske, Fractional calculus operators involving the Gauss function in spaces $F_{p,\mu}$ and $F'_{p,\mu}$, *Math. Nachr.* **147**(1990), 285-306.

[43] M. Saigo, R.K. Raina and A.A. Kilbas, On generalized fractional calculus operators and their compositions with axisymmetric differential operator of the potential theory on spaces $F_{p,\mu}$ and $F'_{p,\mu}$, *Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep.* **23**(1993), 133-154.

[44] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon & Breach, New York *et alibi*, 1993.

[45] R.K. Saxena, An inversion formula for a kernel involving a Mellin-Barnes type integral, *Proc. Amer. MAth. Soc.* **17**(1966), 771-779.

[46] R.K. Saxena and R.K. Kumbhat, Integral operators involving H-function, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **5**(1974), 1-6.

[47] V.P. Saxena, Inversion formulae to certain integral equations involving H-functions, Portugal Math. **29**(1970), 31-42.

[48] S.A. Shlapakov, An integral transform involving Fox's H-function in the space of integrable functions (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Belarus* **38**(1994), 14-18.

[49] R. Singh, An inversion formula for Fox H-transform, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India*, Sect A **40**(1970), 57-64.

[50] I.N. Sneddon, *Fractional Integration and Dual Integral Equations*, North Carolina State Coll., Appl. Math. Res. Group Raleigh, PSR-6, 1962.

[51] H.M. Srivastava and R.G. Buschman, Composition of fractional integral operators involving Fox's H-function, *Acta Mexicana Ci. Tecn.* **7**(1973), 21-28.

[52] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta and S.P. Goyal, *The H-functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asia Publ., New Delhi-Madras, 1982.

[53] Vu Kim Tuan, On the factorization of integral transformations of convolution type in the space L_2^{Φ} (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Armenyan SSR* **83**(1986), 7-10.